



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,699	11/22/1999	Naoyasu Miyagawa	JEL 28567RE-B	5446
7.	590 08/16/2002			
Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher LLP 1615 L Street, NW P.O. Box 34387		EXAMINER		
		HINDI, NABIL Z		
Washington, D	C 20043-5100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2653	,,
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002	. 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

s e

Application No. **09/609,699**

Nabil Hindi

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

2653

Miyaqawa et al

	ı
	П
I	ı
	ı
	I
	ı
	1
	I
	i
	ı
	Ī
	ī
	1
	П
	ı
	i
	ı
	1
	ī
	I
	Г
	ì
	Г
	Ī
	1
	١
	1
	1
	ì
	1
l	1
	П
	Г
	ı
	1
	ı
i	ı
	ı

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug. 21, 2001 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 87 and 89-105 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 87 and 89-105 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/609699

Art Unit: 2653

In response to applicant's amendments dated Aug. 21,2001 and the Terminal Disclaimer dated June 25, 2002. The following action is taken:

Claims 87, and 89-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicant amended all original claims 1, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 of patent number 5,235,581 to

Applicant amended all original claims 1, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 of patent number 5,235,581 to include limitations which were specifically added to overcome prior art rejections during the prosecution of that original patent. Such, newly added limitations included for example, "N optical heads, N being greater than or equal to 2"; objective lenses "whose aberration have respectively been corrected for said N disc substrates having different thicknesses"; "a plurality

Application/Control Number: 09/609699

of" photo detecting means, control means for "allowing" and control means ... "for providing said

control signal to said selecting means and for allowing the light emitting means for" which have

now been are now removed from the present application.. This constitutes an improper recapture

of the claims, see Pannu v. Storz Instruments, Inc. 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ. 2d 1597 (Fed. Cir.

2001).

Art Unit: 2653

Note: Applicant's required arguments to this rejection must include an explanation of all

limitations deleted from each of the independent claims of the original patent, which had

been added to establish patentability (e.g., added from the dependent claims to the

independent claims).

Furthermore, certificate of correction is requested for the parent reissue application citing

all related reissue applications.

Applicant is hereby reminded that the new supplemental declaration should meet the

requirements set in the MPEP 1414.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone

number (703) 308.1555

PRIMARY EXAMINER

2653

Page 3